Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$: A real material realization of the one-dimensional nearest neighbor Heisenberg chain
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We present evidence that crystalline Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is a nearly perfect one-dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model (AHM) chain compound with nearest neighbor only exchange. We undertake a broad theoretical study of the magnetic properties of this compound using first principles (LDA, LDA+U calculations), exact diagonalization, and Bethe-ansatz methodologies to decompose the individual magnetic contributions, quantify their effect, and fit to experimental data. We calculate that the conditions of one-dimensionality and short-ranged magnetic interactions are sufficiently fulfilled that Bethe’s analytical solution should be applicable, opening up the possibility to explore effects beyond the infinite chain limit of the AHM Hamiltonian. We begin such an exploration by examining some extrinsic effects such as impurities and defects.

I. INTRODUCTION

Interest in magnetically low-dimensional systems began with the advent of quantum mechanics and the development of spin-spin interaction models to explain magnetic behavior. Though deceptively simple, early models such as Ising or Heisenberg cannot be solved for the general case, requiring either low spin or low spatial dimensionality to obtain analytical solutions. Exact solutions for some specific cases, such as Onsager’s solution to the two-dimensional (2D) Ising model or Bethe’s solution to the spatially one-dimensional (1D) $s=1/2$ Heisenberg chain, inspired general interest in the theoretical properties of magnetism in low dimensions and resulted in a variety of predictions for exotic physical behaviors. Some of the most interesting properties to arise from the study of restricted-dimension magnets are due to the dramatic effect of quantum spin fluctuations. These are intimately involved in the emergence of novel magnetic long range order (LRO) until $T=0$ in isotropic spin systems in 1D and 2D, regardless of the interaction strength (exchange) between neighboring spins. Since real physical compounds are 3D by nature, the continuing effort to experimentally verify such predictions and probe the nature of 1D magnets is aimed at finding materials where magnetic interactions proceed predominantly along one direction. A measurable gauge of success or failure along these lines can be obtained through the ratio $k_BT_N/J_1$, which compares ordering temperature of a Néel state to the magnitude of exchange between neighboring spins. A perfectly 1D system would give $k_BT_N/J_1=0$. Additionally, experimentally observed behaviors should conform to theoretical predictions where the conditions of the model are met.

Recently, very low temperature measurements on the spin-1/2 compound Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ identified the onset of magnetic LRO at $T_N=0.085$ K, which, in combination with the extracted exchange constant, yields a ratio $k_BT_N/J_1=6 \times 10^{-4}$. This is nearly a full order of magnitude less than the ratio for a prototype 1D magnet Sr$_2$CuO$_3$, which has $k_BT_N/J_1=2.5 \times 10^{-3}$. We can estimate the remaining interchain coupling, $J'$, by adopting an effective 3D chain model with $z_\perp=4$ nearest neighbor chains as in Ref. 10:

$$J' = \frac{3.046k_BT_N}{k_{AFM}z_\perp\sqrt{\ln\frac{5.8J}{k_BT_N} + 0.5 \ln\frac{5.8J}{k_BT_N}}} \approx 2.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ K},$$

(1)

where $k_{AFM}$ is the magnitude of the AFM wave vector. All signs, therefore point to an extremely high degree of one-dimensionality that should justify the use of Bethe’s exact analytical solution to the 1D spin-1/2 AHM in a wide temperature range $J' \ll k_BT$, provided that indeed only nearest neighbor interactions are present. Previous studies have shown that this condition is not satisfactorily fulfilled by the leading 1D spin-1/2 AHM candidate, Sr$_2$CuO$_3$. For completeness, another candidate for a 1D-AHM system should be mentioned: the linear charge transfer salt [3,3’-dimethyl-2,2’-thiazolinoxyamine]-TCNQ, which has $k_BT_N/J_1=2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ K.

Here, we undertake an extensive theoretical analysis of Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$, employing first principles density functional theory calculations, an exact diagonalization scheme, and finally, a Bethe-ansatz derived expression for the magnetic susceptibility fit to experimental data. Our results are in remarkable agreement with one another and with experimental observations. We show that Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is indeed extremely 1D and that furthermore, the second-neighbor interactions are vanishingly small, eliminating any complications due to in-chain frustration. We claim, therefore, that this compound is the best realization of a nearest neighbor only Heisenberg spin-1/2 chain known to date and will likely be a valuable tool for extracting intrinsic effects beyond the Bethe-ansatz,
i.e., effects not contained in the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, such as Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interactions, ring exchange processes, or spin-lattice coupling effects. Additionally, extrinsic effects due to sample imperfections such as defects, magnetic impurities, or the presence of alternate phases can be quantitatively explored, and we make a preliminary investigation of some of them.

II. FIRST PRINCIPLES AND TIGHT-BINDING

First principles density functional theory (DFT) band-structure calculations were performed using a full-potential local orbital code, FPLO, with the following valence states: Sr $(4s, 4p, 4d, 5s, 5p)$, Cu $(3s, 3p, 3d, 4s, 4p)$, P $(2s, 2p, 3s, 3p, 3d)$, O $(2s, 2p, 3d)$. The structure, lattice parameters, and atomic positions (see Fig. 1) were taken from experiment. Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ and isostructural Ba$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$, which we calculate as a comparison material, are composed of isolated CuO$_4$ plaquettes surrounded by PO$_4$ tetrahedra. The plaquettes are spaced evenly along the $b$ axis, forming chains that are staggered with respect to one another in the $a$ crystal direction. The planar CuO$_4$ units are tilted with respect to the $a$-$b$ crystal plane. Based on the geometry of these two systems, we enumerate five specific interactions between plaquettes, and therefore between spins localized to these plaquettes, that may be necessary to describe the electronic and magnetic structures. These interactions are labeled in Fig. 1 as various hopping parameters to be later included in a tight-binding model.

The paramagnetic band structure of Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ (Fig. 2) shows a single, isolated, half-filled band, derived predominantly from the Cu 3$d_{x^2-y^2}$-O 2$p_{\sigma}$ molecular plaquette orbital, crossing the Fermi energy. In reality, the system is antiferromagnetic (AFM) and insulating, but we will follow the standard procedure of importing hopping parameters from the “uncorrelated” paramagnetic system to the Hubbard model which then maps onto the Heisenberg model. Magnetism and correlation effects can also be added at the DFT level with, as we will show, very consistent results. The 1D character of the system is qualitatively obvious from the nearly dispersionless bands in directions perpendicular to the magnetic chains (S-Y and $\Gamma$-Z) and from the characteristic logarithmic divergences in the density of states (DOS) near the band edges. To quantitatively compare microscopic magnetic interactions, we fit a tight-binding (TB) model to our paramagnetic band structure and calculated the individual exchange constants between various CuO$_4$ plaquette spins using $J_{ij} = 4t_{ij}^2/U_{\text{eff}}$ with $U_{\text{eff}} = 4.5$ eV. We find this to be a reasonable choice for $U_{\text{eff}}$ because of poor screening in this geometry and because of the small interplaquette repulsion. The hopping parameters included in the model are shown schematically in Fig. 1 with the numerical values and derived superexchange constants given in Table I. The resulting TB dispersion, which uses only five fitting parameters, is indistinguishable from the full-potential calculation (Fig. 2 lower panel), indicating that further interactions can be safely ignored. The ratio of the strongest in-chain coupling to the strongest interchain coupling is $J_1/J_2 \sim 70$ and the ratio of first to second neighbor in-chain coupling is $J_1/J_2 \sim 700$ for Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$. Identical calculations based on the band structure of Ba$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ (not shown) yield similar results with somewhat more interchain coupling but less second neighbor in-chain coupling. Both systems can therefore be
The energy difference between FM and AFM ordered spin configurations can be calculated using the local spin density approximation (LSDA) which allows for separate spin-up and spin-down densities. Since the LSDA is known to badly underestimate the onsite Coulomb interaction in localized systems, we applied the LSDA+U methodology to better account for the correlated Cu 3d-orbitals, using the fully localized limit scheme to correct for double-counting terms. We consider the energy expectation values of Néel state and paramagnetic one-dimensionality and short-ranged magnetic interactions, and using 36 total sites, we perform an exact diagonalization calculation using ten 20 site exact diagonalization calculations, as listed in Table I, to calculations using only $J_1$, $J_2$, and $J_{ic}$, as listed in Table I, to calculations using only $J_1$. Since in our model each chain has only one neighboring chain, we also perform a calculation in which $J'_{ic}$ is doubled to account for the existence, in reality, of two neighboring chains. In the case of specific heat, there is no discernable difference between any of the three curves using these different parameter sets. For the calculation of $\chi$, the curves are identical for the majority of the temperature range explored (0 < $k_B T / J_1 < 3$), but a barely visible difference occurs near the peak of the curve (see Fig. 3). The maximal difference occurring between two curves is 0.9%, at about $k_B T / J_1$ = 0.65. The ground state calculations of the spin-spin correlation of the susceptibility per spin of Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$: The inset shows a blowup of the region where the curves have the greatest discrepancy, 0.9%. Curves for the ground state correlation of spins and for the specific heat per spin show even less deviation.

III. EXACT DIAGONALIZATION

We perform an exact diagonalization calculation using ten sites along two staggered AFM magnetic chains (20 sites total) for calculating thermodynamic properties such as specific heat and magnetic susceptibility, and using 36 total sites for obtaining the ground state properties. We compare calculations including the three largest exchange interactions, $J_1$, $J_2$, and $J_{ic}$, as listed in Table I, to calculations using only $J_1$. Since in our model each chain has only one neighboring chain, we also perform a calculation in which $J'_{ic}$ is doubled to account for the existence, in reality, of two neighboring chains. In the case of specific heat, there is no discernable difference between any of the three curves using these different parameter sets. For the calculation of $\chi$, the curves are identical for the majority of the temperature range explored (0 < $k_B T / J_1 < 3$), but a barely visible difference occurs near the peak of the curve (see Fig. 3). The maximal difference occurring between two curves is 0.9%, at about $k_B T / J_1$ = 0.65. The ground state calculations of the spin-spin correlation, $\langle S_i S_j \rangle$ are again completely indistinguishable. Obvi-
IV. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

The basic theory for nonperiodic, open AHM chains was worked out by Furusaki and Hikihara20 (FH) and also by Zvyagin and Makarova21 (ZM). FH considered a half-infinite chain with one free chain end applying bosonization theory whereas ZM considered finite even-membered chains on the basis of a rigorous theory based on the Bethe ansatz. Both approaches result, at low temperature, in a chain length ($L = Na$) dependent diverging contribution to the magnetic susceptibility $\chi \propto 1/NT \ln(T_0/j_1)$ and to the linear coefficient in the specific heat $\gamma = C_p/T \propto 1/NT \ln^2(T_0/j_1/T)$, with $\beta = 2.4$ in the FH and ZM theory, respectively. Since a real chain has two ends we multiplied the FH expressions by a factor of 2. ZM calculated further logarithmic corrections which we adopted here to be valid for the FH case, too. Then within both approaches we arrive finally at the same expression for the chain end contributions to $\chi$ (up to a factor of $3/4$). For the logarithmic constant $T_0$ we used the same value 5.696 as proposed by Johnston et al. in the fit expression for the bulk susceptibility,22 similar to 5.8 used in Eq. (1). In the shown and described fits we have adopted the formalism of FH, modified as described above, for both $C/T$ and $\chi$.

We fit to both susceptibility and specific heat to data taken on the same sample,16 additionally using data from a later sample23 for which only $\chi$ data was available. The fit quality for specific heat and susceptibility are very similar; results for the latter are shown in Fig. 4. We get very good fits throughout the entire temperature range and find a reasonably consistent value for the exchange parameter, $J$, despite fitting to samples of different qualities and to two different measurements ($C/T$ and $\chi$). It is worth noting that the exchange parameter derived from fitting to $C/T$ using the data of Ref. 16 yields an exchange parameter of $J = 134.4$ K, which is somewhat less than the value derived from fitting to $\chi(T)$ using the same sample (see Table II). This is likely caused by nonmagnetic impurity contributions (not accounted for in our model) that affect the specific heat but not the susceptibility at low temperatures. Since the magnetic component of $C$ is $\propto 1/J$, ignoring the nonmagnetic contribution overestimates this term and therefore underestimates the exchange. Unlike the exchange and $g$ values, the chain length parameter, $N$, varies widely between samples. While this is expected for samples of different quality, the variation is surprisingly high and, more importantly, the chain lengths resulting from our fits are far too small to justify the use of the open chain theories that we have employed at very low temperatures. With chain lengths of this order, the low temperature region will be completely dominated by broken chain physics that requires different, and as-of-yet undeveloped, formalism. Having fit throughout a large temperature range, including regions where broken chain physics is inoperative, we feel that the extracted exchange constant, $J = 145$ K, is nonetheless relevant—a belief that is supported by its consistency between fits and its similarity to the experimentally measured value.

V. DISCUSSION

The application of a variety of theoretical techniques to the problem of magnetism in Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ convincingly demonstrates that the ideal compound is highly one-dimensional. The high degree of one-dimensionality can be traced back to its unusual isolated CuO$_4$ plaquette geometry. Instead of edge-or corner-shared plaquettes such as are common in other quasi-1D compounds,24,25 each Cu ion in Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is surrounded by four O ions not shared by any other Cu ion. This construction virtually eliminates the second neighbor in-chain coupling that prevents edge-shared compounds such as Li$_2$CuO$_2$ from being described via a simple nearest neighbor Heisenberg model.26,27 Corner shared cuprates such as Sr$_2$CuO$_3$ have far smaller second neighbor interactions,4 of the order $J_1/J_2 \sim 15$, and yet, these must be taken into account to get good agreement between model calculations and experiment.11 The structure of

![FIG. 4. (Color online) Bethe-ansatz fits to experimental data with corrections for broken chains, impurity phases, and domain boundaries. Note the consistency of the exchange parameter vs the wide spread in values for the chain length.](174435-4)
Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ along the chain is that of an edge shared chain compound with every other unit missing. Conceptualized in this way, one can make a correspondence between exchange constants in an edge-shared ($es$) system and those in the isolated square plaquette ($sp$) geometry: $J'_{es} \to J_{1}^{sp}$ and $J''_{es} \to J_{2}^{sp}$. Since $J'_{es}$ is known to be vanishingly small in the edge-shared geometry, it is clear that the second neighbor interactions in the square plaquette geometry can be expected to be negligible. This may provide some directional guidance in the search for new one-dimensional compounds: the isolated plaquette arrangement appears to be superior to the more common edge-or corner-shared structures such that synthesis of new compounds with this geometry may prove to be profitable. The tilting of the out-of-chain plaquettes with respect to one another further suppresses the interchain coupling. The staggering of plaquettes in neighboring chains slightly increases the distance between spins, but more importantly, gives rise to frustration. As each chain is antiferromagnetically aligned by the (relatively) strong first neighbor coupling, a given spin finds itself surrounded by four interchain neighbors, two aligned in one direction and two in the other. These staggered, frustrated chains are more decoupled from one another than they would be in another arrangement, e.g., a ladder configuration.

Provided that Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is stoichiometric and largely defect-free, it is clear that this compound represents the most 1D AHM chain so far investigated. These conditions are, unfortunately, not reasonably fulfilled by current samples. It is interesting in this context to consider the mechanism by which the compound eventually achieves LRO (at $T_N = 0.085$ K): is it truly the result of residual third dimension interactions? Significantly, the phenomenologically estimated averaged interchain interaction from Eq. (1) is of the same order as the calculated $J_1$, rather than $J_0$. Fluctuation induced “order by disorder” coupling could be responsible for the strong reduction of two orders of magnitude within the frustrated plane. On the other hand, the interchain couplings are in general phenomenally small as calculated by DFT methods and even so are likely exaggerated. One alternative explanation is that in a system with many broken chains, there will be some number of chains containing an odd number of spins, with each such chain carrying one uncompensated spin-1/2 electron. The relationship of the uncompensated spins to one another is not defined by any of our methods and a long range ordering of these is not out of the question. It would be interesting to see if the ordering temperature remains constant with sample quality. Another point to be addressed in the future is the issue of spin-lattice coupling. The Heisenberg model itself assumes perfect isotropy in spin-space and we have not included any relativistic (spin-orbit) interactions in our first principles calculations. The neglect of these is seemingly justified by the extremely small field ($H = 4$ mT) at which the spin-flop transition occurs, but the smallness of this field itself is unusual and a cause for further investigation. All of these facts point to the high desirability of even better samples that can be used to disentangle true “dimensionality” effects from behaviors due to crystal imperfections. Although the investigation of true Heisenberg physics is currently limited by the need for extremely pure sample quality, Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is theoretically, and potentially experimentally, the best example of a magnetically 1D crystal yet studied.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the isolated CuO$_4$ plaquette geometry of Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ gives rise to a nearly perfect 1D spin-1/2 nearest neighbor only system. We find a theoretical $J_1$ via several methodologies in good agreement with experimental measurements. Using the measured Néel temperature in conjunction with our calculated exchange constant, we find the lowest yet known ratio of $k_BT_N/J_1=6 \times 10^{-4}$, and we show that secondary interactions (2D, 3D, and next-nearest neighbor) are negligible in terms of calculated thermodynamic properties. Using the Bethe-ansatz solution to the Heisenberg Hamiltonian along with additional terms to correct for extrinsic noncrystalline effects, we fit the data over a large temperature range and derive an exchange parameter of $1.45 \pm 0.5$ K that is consistent between samples and between fitting choices. We find that the existence of numerous broken chains currently prohibits experimental observation of true spin-1/2 AHM physics at low temperatures. However, Sr$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ is truly intrinsically perfectly 1D with only one exchange parameter, and as better and better methods of generating the compound emerge, effects beyond Bethe-ansatz can be probed experimentally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to A. A. Belik and A. Loidl for making data available to us and for valuable input to our work. We also thank A. A. Zyvagin for fruitful discussions. We acknowledge the use of J. Schulenburg’s spinpack to perform the numerical exact diagonalization. Our calculations were carried out in part using the supercomputing facilities at ZIH Dresden. Funding was provided by the Emmy Noether Program of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and GIF. M.D.J. was funded by the Office of Naval Research.

---

4 H. A. Bethe, Z. Phys. 71, 205 (1931).
However, for this compound exhibiting a steep decrease of $\chi(T)$ at low $T$ the ratio $T_N/J_1$ amounts to about $2.3 \times 10^{-2}$, only. In addition, the reported fit of the infinite chain Bethe-ansatz solution without any subtraction of Curie contributions shows significant deviations near the maximum of $\chi(T)$ and at high $T$.